



BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-580-836

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products from the Republic of Korea:
Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate products (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013. The Department preliminarily determines that the producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value or had no shipments of subject merchandise.¹ We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (Insert date of publication in the *Federal Register*.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5760.

¹ The deadline for the preliminary results of this review was March 3, 2014. Due to the closure of the Federal Government in Washington, DC on March 3, 2014, the Department reached this determination on the next business day (i.e., March 4, 2014). See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are certain CTL plate. Imports of CTL plate are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7208.40.30.30, 7208.40.30.60, 7208.51.00.30, 7208.51.00.45, 7208.51.00.60, 7208.52.00.00, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.13.00.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7211.14.00.45, 7211.90.00.00, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, 7212.50.00.00, 7225.40.30.50, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.50.60.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.²

Preliminary Determination of No Reviewable Entries

We received timely submissions of letters from Daewoo International Corp. (Daewoo), Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), GS Global Corp. (GS Global), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung), and Hyundai Steel Co. (Hyundai Steel) reporting to the Department that they had no exports, sales or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.³ Based on record evidence, we preliminarily determine that Daewoo, Dongbu, GS Global, Hyosung, and Hyundai Steel had no reviewable entries during the POR. For additional information on our preliminary determination of no reviewable entries, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See the memorandum from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products from the Republic of Korea" dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

³ See the letters from Daewoo, Dongbu, GS Global, Hyosung, and Hyundai Steel dated May 10, 2013, April 10, 2013, May 15, 2013, May 23, 2013, and May 15, 2013, respectively.

Methodology

We selected one company for individual examination in this administrative review, Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM). The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Department's Central Records Unit, located at room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the period February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013. The rate for the companies not selected for individual examination is equal to the weighted-average dumping margin for the selected respondent, DSM.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-Average Dumping Margin (Percent)
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.	4.64
Edgen Murray Corporation	4.64
Kyoungil Co., Ltd.	4.64
Samsung C&T Corp.	4.64
Samwoo EMC Co., Ltd.	4.64
TCC Steel Corp.	4.64

Disclosure and Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁴ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.⁵

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically *via* IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

this notice.⁶ Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

If DSM's weighted-average dumping margin continues to be above *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁷ If DSM's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*.⁸

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by DSM, which is the company selected for individual examination in this review, for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see*

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁷ In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁸ See *Final Modification for Reviews*, 77 FR at 8102.

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

In the final results of this review, if we continue to find that Daewoo, Dongbu, GS Global, Hyosung, and Hyundai Steel had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by these companies but exported by other companies at the all-others rate.⁹

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, Edgen Murray Corporation, Kyoungil Co., Ltd., Samsung C&T Corp., Samwoo EMC Co., Ltd., and TCC Steel Corp., we will instruct CBP to apply the rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by those firms.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate

⁹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 0.98 percent,¹⁰ the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Paul Piquado
Assistant Secretary
for Enforcement and Compliance

March 4, 2014
(Date)

¹⁰ See, e.g., *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2011-2012, 78 FR 29113, 29114 (May 17, 2013).

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- A. Summary
- B. Background
- C. Scope of the Order
- D. Preliminary Determination of No Reviewable Entries
- E. Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination
- F. Comparisons to Normal Value
- G. Determination of Comparison Method
- H. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- I. Product Comparisons
- J. Date of Sale
- K. Level of Trade/CEP Offset
- L. Constructed Export Price
- M. Normal Value
 - 1. Overrun Sales
 - 2. Selection of Comparison Market
 - 3. Affiliated Parties
 - 4. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
 - 5. Cost of Production
 - 6. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
- N. Currency Conversion
- O. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-05140 Filed 03/07/2014 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 03/10/2014]